

**RECOVERY PROGRAM  
FY 2020-2021 SCOPE OF WORK for:**

Recovery Program Project Number: FR115

Monitoring effects of Flaming Gorge Dam releases on the Lodore and Whirlpool Canyon fish communities

Reclamation Agreement number: new agreement pending  
Reclamation Agreement term: Oct. 1, 2018 – Sep. 30, 2023

Lead Agency: Larval Fish Laboratory, CSU; Bureau of Reclamation; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
Jointly Submitted by: Larval Fish Laboratory, CSU; Bureau of Reclamation; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Kevin R. Bestgen, Ph.D.  
Larval Fish Laboratory  
Dept. of Fish, Wildlife, and Conservation Biology  
Colorado State University  
Ft Collins, Colorado 80523  
970-491-1848/ fax 970-491-5091  
kbestgen@colostate.edu

Christian Smith  
Green River Basin FWCO  
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service  
1380 S. 2350 W.  
Vernal, Utah 84078  
435-789-0354/ fax 435-789-4805  
[christian\\_t\\_smith@fws.gov](mailto:christian_t_smith@fws.gov)

Date Last Modified: 6/9/2019 10:27:00 AM

Category:

- Ongoing project
- Ongoing-revised project
- Requested new project
- Unsolicited proposal

Expected Funding Source:

- Annual funds
- Capital funds
- Other (explain)

- I. Title of Proposal:  
Monitoring Effects of Flaming Gorge Dam releases on the Lodore/Whirlpool fish community
- II. Relationship to RIPRAP:  
*See RIPRAP at <http://www.coloradoriverrecovery.org/documents-publications/foundational-documents/recovery-action-plan.html>*  
Green River Action Plan: Mainstem  
II.D. Evaluate and revise as needed flow regimes to benefit endangered fish populations.  
I.D.2.g. Determine influence of flow and temperature recommendations on entire fish community with emphasis on nonnative fish life history in lower Reach 1 and upper Reach 2.
- III. Study Background/Rationale and Hypotheses:  
In FY01, the Recovery Implementation Program (RIP) revised the RIP Recovery Action Plan to include evaluating and revising, as needed, flow recommendations for the endangered fish throughout the Upper

Colorado River Basin. Flaming Gorge Flow and Temperature Recommendations (FGFTR; Muth et al. 2000) were approved by the RIP in FY01. A Record of Decision was written in 2005 and flow and temperature recommendations have been implemented in 2006. Another change in operations at Flaming Gorge Dam has resulted from implementation of the Larval Trigger Study Plan, and has effects primarily on timing and magnitude of releases in spring from Flaming Gorge Dam.

An expectation of implementation of flow and temperature recommendations was that native and endangered fishes will benefit and may show changes in distribution and abundance. It is also possible that new flow and temperature regimes for native endangered fishes may also enhance distribution and abundance of certain nonnative fishes. This is a major concern of managers of the Colorado River Basin, where expanding non-native fish populations are detracting from conservation efforts. Effects of full implementation of new flow and temperature regimes of the Green River downstream from Flaming Gorge Dam need to be evaluated to determine relative benefits to native and endangered fishes and other non-native elements of the fish community.

An increased emphasis was placed on non-native fish removal in this study beginning in 2005. Expanded populations of non-native fish predators have been detected since 2002 due in part to warmer water temperatures and lower flows. The proposed non-native fish removal and native fish monitoring study is a logical extension of work conducted by Bestgen and Crist (2000), and more recent sampling in 2002 to 2018 (Bestgen et al. 2006, Bestgen et al. 2007). That more recent work was conducted to evaluate changes in the fish community that occurred since 1996 in response to partial implementation of new flow recommendations and to expansion and removal of non-native predaceous fishes in the Green River. Aspects of the new flow and temperature recommendations and the Larval Trigger Study Plan that were realized since 1996 were relatively higher spring peak flows in 1997, 1999, 2011 and low and warm flows in the summer seasons from 2002 to 2007, followed by relatively high flows from 2008-2011 and 2014-18, and lower flows in 2012 and 2013. A number of changes in the fish community were observed during sampling from 2002 to 2017. Those included an expanded population of smallmouth bass *Micropterus dolomieu* in Lodore and Whirlpool canyons, and reproduction by that species in Lodore Canyon, as well as in downstream Whirlpool Canyon. We also detected upstream expansion and increased abundance of red shiner *Cyprinella lutrensis* in some years and channel catfish *Ictalurus punctatus* reductions in several years. However, the higher and cooler water years in 2008 and 2011 resulted in delayed spawning of smallmouth bass and small size going into winter. Particularly problematic has been expansion of northern pike reproduction and abundance in Browns Park in the upstream portion of the study area, which continued in 2014-2018.

We also made valuable observations of native fishes during the 2002-2017 sampling. Sampling and telemetry work (Kitcheyan and Montagne 2005) revealed increased use of Lodore Canyon in summer by Colorado pikeminnow *Ptychocheilus lucius*. In 2006 and 2010, we also detected reproduction by Colorado pikeminnow in Lodore Canyon, and in 2011-2018, detected aggregations of Colorado pikeminnow in or at the mouth of Vermillion Creek in spring during runoff. Seine sampling in lower Lodore Canyon in summer 2003 captured an early juvenile razorback sucker *Xyrauchen texanus* x white sucker *Catostomus commersonii* hybrid (29 mm TL), which indicated attempted reproduction by razorback suckers there in spring or early summer 2003. We detected continued presence of humpback chub *Gila cypha* in Whirlpool Canyon in 2002, 2003, and 2004, along with a relatively large population of roundtail chub *Gila robusta*, each of which has subsequently declined. Few roundtail chub have been recently observed and no suspected humpback chub have been collected in several years. We also captured (N = 16 scanned for PIT tags) or observed (N = 60) bonytail *Gila elegans* in autumn 2004 in the Green River from the Echo Park boat ramp to downstream about 11 km a short time after their stocking at Echo Park (Bestgen et al. 2008); occasional bonytail are captured that are recently stocked fish.

An ongoing understanding of shifts in distribution and abundance patterns of non-native predaceous fishes and native/endangered fishes associated with Flaming Gorge operations will provide managers with information necessary to assess effects of full implementation of new flow and temperature recommendations. Of particular interest are continued assessment of recent changes in distribution and abundance of predaceous species such as smallmouth bass, northern pike, and other native fishes. This study provides important non-native fish removal activities, as well as information to describe the response of native fishes to removal of smallmouth bass and other non-native piscivores. This adds information to a continued management process (along with other ongoing studies downstream) that addresses uncertainties in flow and temperature recommendations that may affect the fish community (Muth et al. 2000).

#### IV. Study Goals, Objectives, End Product:

**Goal:** Remove non-native fishes and determine if changes in Green River flow and thermal regimes are associated with changes in distribution and abundance patterns of native and nonnative fishes in Browns Park, Lodore and Whirlpool canyons, and Island-Rainbow Park.

Objective 1. Remove non-native fishes and determine if shifts in distribution and abundance of large-bodied fishes have occurred in Lodore Canyon and Whirlpool Canyon by comparing the results of shoreline electrofishing and trammel net surveys with the results of previous studies, particularly Bestgen and Crist (2000), Bestgen et al. (2007) and results of the 2007-2016 sampling.

Objective 2. Remove non-native fishes and determine if shifts in the distribution and abundance of small-bodied fishes have occurred in Brown's Park, Lodore and Whirlpool canyons, and Island-Rainbow Park by comparing results of low-velocity, nearshore seining with the results of previous studies, particularly Bestgen and Crist (2000), Bestgen et al. (2007), and results of the 2002 to 2016 sampling.

Objective 3. Determine if Colorado pikeminnow spawn in the Green River upstream from the Yampa River confluence by sampling with drift nets in lower Lodore Canyon, and by summer sampling to determine presence of ripe adults. Drift net sampling will be done occasionally when Green River flows are low and warm (conditions when pikeminnow spawning might be expected) and will be done in coordination with drift-net sampling in the Yampa River (project 22f).

Objective 4. Analyze hydrological records as recorded by the USGS at their gaging station (09234500) near Greendale, Utah, to compare differences in current and historical operations.

Objective 5. Analyze temperature records of the Green River through Browns Park, Lodore Canyon, and Whirlpool Canyon to compare differences in current and historical operations. This activity has been expanded with more thermographs in other locations to further document warming and mixing patterns of the Green River, especially downstream of the Yampa River.

Objective 6. Continue to analyze past otolith samples and those collected in 2007-2016 to understand smallmouth bass spawning periodicity to assist with flow-related management of that species. A summary report of that work was finished in 2016 (Bestgen and Hill 2016).

Objective 7. Based on results of objectives 1–6, determine physical effects of new operations and subsequent effects on the fish community of the Green River downstream of Flaming Gorge Dam.

End Product: Remove non-native fishes and assessment of effects of non-native fish removal and effects of new flow and temperature regimes based on the fish community response.

## V. Study area

In general, the fish community of the Green River will be sampled between the Swinging Bridge in Brown's Park and the lower end of Rainbow Park in Dinosaur National Monument. Additional northern pike sampling in upstream reaches will also be conducted but specific areas dependent on habitat availability. Specific reaches and gear include:

**Boat, raft or seine sampling for small-bodied fishes and northern pike:** Beginning upstream near Red Creek in Brown's Park and extending downstream through Island-Rainbow Park.

**Raft-based electrofishing and trammel-netting:** Lodore Canyon: Entire Canyon, which consists of four contiguous, 5-mile reaches and; Whirlpool Canyon: Entire Canyon, which consists of 2 contiguous, 5-mile reaches.

## VI. Study Methods/Approach

Sampling methods will be patterned closely after those used in 2002 to 2018 sampling. Data will be collected in a manner that generates catch per unit effort (CPUE) metrics (fish/hour electrofishing, small-bodied fish/m<sup>2</sup> habitat seined, larval fish/m<sup>3</sup> water, fish/hour trammel-netting) with associated variance estimates to enable within-study, and annual comparative statistical analyses. Additional sampling techniques (e.g., angling, hoop nets) will be used on an experimental basis. Flow data collected by USGS and temperature data (U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service) at several of its gauging stations on the Green and Yampa rivers will be used to address Objectives 4 and 5.

Three sampling trips will be conducted each year. Sampling will begin in early to mid-summer and end in autumn. We envision two sampling trips using electrofishing and a third trip using primarily netting gear. Seine sampling will occur on all trips. The two electrofishing trips will be 5-days in length and utilize a 7-8 person crew; netting trips will have similar requirements.

**Large-bodied fishes; Electrofishing:** Two electrofishing rafts will simultaneously sample the left and right shoreline. Each two-person crew (one boat operator and one netter) will collect all fish. Each 5-mile reach will be sampled in segments, usually about 1-2 miles each. At the lower end of each section all fish will be enumerated as an adult or sub-adult (based on pre-determined total length ranges per species) and electrofishing effort will be recorded. Rare fish (T&E species) will be weighed, measured, and PIT-tagged. Thus, mean CPUE/trip/reach will be generated from the section samples.

In addition to simple enumeration, all fish will be measured and weighed in two sections (both shorelines) of each reach on each trip to characterize size structure and length/weight relationships. Non-native fishes (except salmonids) will be removed.

Descriptive statistics will be used to describe CPUE, lengths, and weights of fish and appropriate comparisons with previously collected data will be made. This sampling also plays a role in determining burbot escapement from Flaming Gorge Reservoir, and is especially important given the expanded releases in some years for implementing the Larval Trigger study plan. These data have been reported at several presentations in the recent past.

**Large-bodied fishes; Trammel netting:** Multi-filament trammel nets (23m x 1.8m; 25-cm outer mesh; 2.5-cm inner mesh) will be set at locations in Lodore and Whirlpool canyons with a main goal of sampling chubs in the genus *Gila*. Trammel nets collect a variety of species, but have been used in other studies as a primary gear

type to collect native chubs in canyon-bound reaches of the Green (Chart and Lentsch 1999) and Colorado Rivers (Chart and Lentsch 2000, Valdez and Ryel 1995, McAda 2000). Trammel nets will be fished during crepuscular and nighttime hours at sites in Lodore and Whirlpool canyons. Nets will be set in low velocity habitats and along eddy lines. The number of nets set will be contingent on habitat availability and accessibility. Nets will be checked every 2 hours to reduce fish mortality.

All fish will be measured, weighed, and tagged as necessary. Dorsal and anal fin rays will be enumerated from all chubs collected. Any suspected humpback chub will be photographed, primarily for the purpose of acquainting other researchers with the chubs found in Whirlpool Canyon. Appropriate morphometric measurements (as identified in Douglas et al. 1998) will be collected. Descriptive statistics will be used to describe CPUE, lengths, and weights of fish and appropriate comparisons with data previously collected will be made. This sampling also plays a role in determining burbot escapement from Flaming Gorge Reservoir, and is especially important given the expanded releases in some years for implementing the Larval Trigger study plan.

***Large-bodied fishes; other gear types:*** In addition to electrofishing and trammel netting, other sampling techniques such as angling and trap nets may be employed to evaluate their efficiency. Angling will also be used to supplement total numbers of adult Colorado pikeminnow collected and marked for movement and length/weight analyses.

***Small-bodied fishes; Seining:*** The purpose of this sampling will be to track shifts in distribution and abundance of the small-bodied nonnative (red shiner, sand shiner, fathead minnow) and native (speckled dace) cyprinids, and YOY of all other species. We will sample mostly backwaters, eddies, and shorelines; other habitat types (e.g., riffles) will be sampled as needed to detect species of interest. Two or more seine hauls will be taken in each sampled habitat and each seine haul will represent a sample. Physical measurements including area seined and habitat area will be gathered to quantify habitat dimensions and calculate CPUE. Seines used in this study will conform with the ISMP-recommended gear type. Readily identified endangered species will be measured and released alive. Other fish will be preserved in 10% buffered formalin and identified at CSU/LFL.

We will also continue to conduct analyses to understand timing and intensity of smallmouth bass reproduction in the Green River. This will be accomplished by analyzing otolith daily increments of age-0 smallmouth bass collected and preserved in ethanol during past years (2002-2016 as available). This will require analysis of several years of samples to understand effects of different flow and temperature regimes on timing and intensity of spawning. This analysis began in 2007 and results will be available as data are collected to understand the need for additional analyses. Presentations of these results have been prepared for annual meetings each year since 2008, and a summary report is available (Bestgen and Hill 2016).

***Northern pike habitat and fish sampling:*** This item was added at the request of the Program Director's office because northern pike reproduction was detected in autumn 2005 in Browns Park. The first facet of this investigation assessed habitat available for northern pike in Browns Park (from near Beaver Creek downstream of Flaming Gorge Dam downstream to Lodore boat ramp). We have continued to sample areas where northern pike are concentrated by sampling areas where age-0 pike have been captured in the past, with the intent of removal of as many pike as possible. In past years we concentrated on nearshore areas and will continue to assess nearshore and main channel habitat for presence of age-0 and adult northern pike. In 2006, we also captured smallmouth bass in Browns Park at two locations (Bestgen et al. 2007). Browns Park sampling would also be used to assess if smallmouth bass populations are expanding or remain isolated. Sampling through 2018 showed that smallmouth bass were sporadic but pike were persistent in Browns Park, but concentrated mainly in the upper Browns Park area near Beaver Creek. Continued sampling in 2019 will monitor if populations are expanding or maintaining at low levels. We think about three weeks of intensive sampling would be sufficient

to survey most of the available areas; sampling effort upstream will be supplemented by Utah Division of Wildlife sampling. Timing of sampling would also be dependent on the above-referenced information and the timing of Flaming Gorge flow releases. This sampling also plays a role in determining burbot escapement from Flaming Gorge Reservoir, and is especially important given the expanded releases in some years for implementing the Larval Trigger study plan. These data have been reported at several non-native fish workshop meetings in the last few years, and details capture rates, size structure, and timing of spawning of northern pike relative to flow and water temperature patterns.

***Evaluate effects of flow manipulations on smallmouth bass in Lodore and Whirlpool canyons (Task 4 below, study plan preparation began in 2016 and finalized; details Bestgen 2018):*** This item was added at the request of the Program Director's office.

Water temperature and streamflow level each influence timing of reproduction by smallmouth bass in unregulated and regulated stream reaches of the upper Green River drainage. However, the influence of each varies depending on the level of regulation, and temperature and streamflow level, likely a surrogate for spawning habitat availability. Both are important in the regulated reach of the Green River in Lodore Canyon, and in the partially regulated reach in downstream Whirlpool Canyon. Length of the growing season and water temperatures in summer, which are inversely associated with streamflow magnitude, have strong effects on smallmouth bass length in autumn, which is an important driver of overwinter survival. Thus, the degree of stream regulation – partially to fully regulated – and associated water temperatures will affect predictions to determine timing of first reproduction and bass growth. Using that information to predict spawning times of smallmouth bass in reaches of the Green River upstream and downstream of the Yampa River, which is now known, may allow us to disadvantage reproduction and growth of invasive smallmouth bass by implementing intentional flow or temperature disturbances from Flaming Gorge Dam. Such disturbances have potential to disturb eggs in nests, displace and reduce survival of larvae, or induce nest abandonment of adult bass.

It is uncertain precisely how such a study would be implemented, and details for such are partially developed and will continue this year. We have already had discussions with the Bureau of Reclamation about how this might occur, especially related to other flow requests (e.g., Larval Trigger Study Plan) that are presently being implemented. A key part of this study may involve identifying locations of bass reproduction, including the lower ends of cutoff, low flow channels, or large backwaters in Lodore Canyon, and Whirlpool Canyon and Island Park. A key to the success of evaluations in that reach is flow conditions that are suited to making a big enough effect to create a flow disturbance. For locations such as Whirlpool Canyon, this may require moderate to low Yampa River flows, and a subsequent and relatively large flow pulse from Flaming Gorge Dam, to flow and stage levels that are substantially increased.

The level of flow increase and duration would depend on the physical attributes of backwater habitat in the system. This may require surveys, or simply observations of key areas during higher flow releases in spring in Lodore and Whirlpool canyons and Island Park. It would seem reasonable to increase flow during pulses to powerplant level (e.g. 4500 cfs) to have a large enough increase over base flows to effect habitat inundation in key spawning and nursery habitat locations, both in Lodore canyon, and in the downstream Green River.

Timing of releases should be predicted with the data described above and verified with observations. However, the precise timing to conduct such an experiment is not well established. Certainly, flows would be post-spawning of smallmouth bass, but whether to target early spawned bass (cohort 1 or 2) is not certain. It seems as though one could target both, given the short time between initiation of spawning and the peak in the distributions of hatching dates, a period that would follow production of cohort 1 fish, typically the largest fish produced in any year, and the first portion of cohort 2, which is typically the most abundant cohort produced in

any year. Targeting that time period would also reduce the chance that flow disruptions would negatively affect spawning by native fishes. This would typically occur after reproduction by most native suckers, but just prior to spawning by chubs and well before reproduction by Colorado pikeminnow. Native fishes should be less affected as well, since they typically deposit adhesive eggs in spawning gravel that is protected from higher flows. The reason smallmouth bass eggs and larvae might be negatively affected is they are not adhesive and deposited in low or zero velocity habitat. Increased velocity from a flow disturbance of sufficient magnitude may flush them away and cause mortality.

To understand the effects of such a flow disturbance action would likely involve finding and marking nests, and taking measurements of the velocity and depth characteristics around the nest area, and describing macro-habitat features of the site, including whether the nest was located in the downstream end of a secondary channel. Observations could also include finding and marking locations of newly dispersed smallmouth bass larvae that occupy low velocity areas that are typically very near channel margins. In the regulated Green River reach upstream of Lodore Canyon, we know a few locations where smallmouth bass have spawned in the past, based on capture of guarding adults on nests, or larvae, or both. These include mainly side channel backwaters, including ones just upstream of Hells Half Mile rapid (about RM 352, river right), just downstream of Wild Mountain on river left (Screaming Jay backwater), and near the Green River confluence with the Yampa River (river left). Physical factors to be measured may include the flow levels needed to make connections of side channels that do or might provide spawning habitat for smallmouth bass, and determining what flow levels might accomplish those connections. Also important would be assessing flow conditions in side channels during various levels of inundation.

Physical habitat changes with flow increases should focus on those characteristics that might change (increased velocity over the nest, reconnection of a side channel) given a certain flow increase. Thus, flows would have to be sustained for a long-enough period to have an effect in the desired reach(es), and allow investigators to measure it. A release of 2-3 days may be sufficient, and flow-stage measurements from existing data may be useful to better understand those relationships. Biological measures might include assessments of egg or larvae presence in nests, and observations of male behavior and presence on nests, at marked locations in pre- and post-flooding periods. This approach could also be taken to evaluate effects of disturbance from sampling during the surge, where removal or displacement of adults might result in reduced nest success. A longer-term assessment method would also include estimates of abundance of various life stages (Project 123), and abundance of age-0 fish in autumn (Project 140 results). That Green River reach has a long history of sampling, both for smallmouth bass as well as for small-bodied species that might respond to bass removal, including native fishes and should be included in an assessment of disturbance effects designed to reduce smallmouth bass reproductive success.

Biological assessments would include measuring presence and abundance of larvae with seine samples at specific locations pre- and post-flow disturbance, to assess if increased flow removed or dispersed early life stages of smallmouth bass. Placement of marked smallmouth bass early life stages in key backwaters could also be conducted to assure presence of larvae in specific locations, prior to flow manipulations. If spawning nests can be found, investigators could also make observations of those in pre- and post-disturbance time periods. Drift nets may also be effective to capture dispersed early life stages of smallmouth bass. We have captured early life stages of smallmouth bass in the Green River just upstream of the Yampa River during higher flow and turbidity events caused by rain events upstream. Thus, we know larvae are dispersed and we are capable of capturing them in drift nets. Such sampling should be timed to coincide with the front edge of the flow pulse to ensure that bass are detected. Seine sampling is also conducted in the Green River in regulated and partially regulated reaches in summer and autumn. Density of smallmouth bass in backwaters in summer and autumn, between which flow disturbances might happen, could be compared to determine effects on a larger river scale. Abundance of young bass could also be assessed in autumn, and compared to previous years where no flow

disturbance was conducted.

Samples of bass collected in autumn would also be aged to determine if any smallmouth bass survived from the time period prior to flow pulses. We would know that bass actually spawned based on observations and sampling conducted pre-disturbance. If all bass captured in autumn were produced post-disturbance, and we know bass were spawned in a pre-disturbance time period, we would know the disturbance was effective to some degree. These are preliminary ideas, which may require some ground-truthing to understand better whether they will be effective, as well as the magnitude and duration of flow disturbances required to elicit an effect in particular reaches.

**VII. Task Description and Schedule**

- Task 1: remove non-native fishes and sample main-channel fish community (large-bodied fishes)
- Task 2: remove non-native fishes and sample small-bodied fish community, with pike sampling in Browns Park
- Task 3: process preserved samples of small-bodied fish and conduct otolith analyses (fish from seine samples)
- Task 4: conduct assessment of flow spike effects on smallmouth bass reproduction and abundance
- Task 5: prepare and submit annual report

Schedule: FY-2020 (Tasks 1-5)

Task	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
1	x								x	x	x	x
2								x	x	x	x	x
3	x	x	x	x	x	x					x	x
4	x	x	x					x	x	x	x	x
5	x	x										

Schedule: FY-2021

Task	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
1	x								x	x	x	x
2								x	x	x	x	x
3	x	x	x	x	x	x					x	x
4	x	x	x					x	x	x	x	x
5	x	x										

Similar sampling schedules are envisioned for 2022-2024.

**VIII. Deliverables, Due Dates, and Budget by Fiscal Year: Sampling, sample processing, and annual reporting.**

- Deliverables/Due Dates: Annual Reports of field activities due to PD’s office November of each year.
- LFL Budget:
  - Travel: Travel costs for field work based on estimated per diem rates for Colorado State University for the area we are working in. Mileage is based on the standard rate for Motor Pool vehicles, which varies depending on age and size of the vehicle. We will use \$ 0.50 per mile for 2019. Meeting costs include three nights of hotel, per diem, and mileage to travel to meetings. These include costs for two people.



Personnel: Salaries include 28.2% fringe rate, an estimate for 2019, plus overhead. Overhead is calculated on all items (including salary plus fringe rate) at 17.5%, per our agreement with BOR.

Supplies: Supplies are used in the conduct of field sampling and lab analysis of specimens and otoliths. Containers and preservatives are to hold field specimens and to curate specimens in the lab, preservative are formalin and ethanol for preservation of samples. Camping gear includes tents, kitchen supplies for field camping, and coolers. Nets include seines and trammel nets, disposable goods that need replacements due to attrition. Fyke nets are stationary gear for pike sampling and need to be replaced due to attrition. Tools for repairs include hammers, pitons, rock bags, wrenches, and other hand tools to assist with sampling and gear repair in the field. Raft gear includes personal flotation devices, straps and other rigging for rafts, oars, frame repair or replacement, and flooring. Estimated costs based on current prices procured from various online sources (local vendors for camping supplies, NRS rafting supplies, Christiansen Inc, for net supplies, Fischer Scientific for preservatives, sample jars).

Budget notes: Detailed costs are provided in the USBR Cost Estimating Tool for both the Larval Fish Laboratory and the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service Vernal Field Office. Cost increases from Vernal station were to accommodate a portion of Supervisor salary and to assist with smallmouth bass flow disruption studies described earlier (one GS-11 for one week, one GS-6 for one week, and one GS-5 for one week).

IX. Budget Summary

**FY2020-24 Budget Summary:**

FY2020-2024 budget

Year	LFL	USFWS	Total
FY2020	\$108,900	\$35,504	\$144,404
FY2021	\$110,912	\$33,955	\$144,867
FY2022	\$112,965	\$34,634	\$147,599
FY2023	\$115,058	\$35,327	\$150,385
FY2024	\$117,193	\$38,430	\$155,623
	\$565,028	\$177,850	\$742,878

– Deliverables/Due dates: Annual Report of field activities and sampling results due to PD’s office November of each year.

X. Reviewers: Kevin McAbee, June 2015;

Doug Osmundson, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Grand Junction, CO  
 Kirk LaGory, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL

XI. References

Bestgen, K. R. 2018. Evaluate effects of flow spikes to disrupt reproduction of smallmouth bass in the Green River downstream of Flaming Gorge Dam. Final report to the Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program. Denver, Colorado. Department of Fish, Wildlife, and Conservation Biology,

- Bestgen, K.R. and L.W. Crist. 2000. Response of the Green River fish community to construction and re-regulation of Flaming Forge Dam, 1962–1996. Final Report of Colorado State University Larval Fish Laboratory to Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program, Denver, Colorado
- Bestgen, K. R., and A. A. Hill. 2016. River regulation affects reproduction, early growth, and suppression strategies for invasive smallmouth bass in the upper Colorado River basin. Final report to the Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program, Project FR-115, Denver, CO. Department of Fish, Wildlife, and Conservation Biology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins. Larval Fish Laboratory Contribution 187. **DOI:** 10.13140/RG.2.2.29635.20009
- Bestgen, K.R., R.T. Muth, and M.A. Trammell. 1998. Downstream transport of Colorado squawfish larvae in the Green River drainage: temporal and spatial variation in abundance and relationships with juvenile recruitment. Final Report of Colorado State University Larval Fish Laboratory to Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program, Denver, Colorado.
- Bestgen, K. R., K. A. Zelasko, and C. T. Wilcox. 2007. Non-native fish removal in the Green River, Lodore and Whirlpool canyons, 2002-2006, and fish community response to altered flow and temperature regimes, and non-native fish expansion. Final report to the Recovery Implementation Program for Endangered Fishes in the Upper Colorado River Basin. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Denver, CO. Larval Fish Laboratory Contribution 149.
- Bestgen, K. R., R. A. Valdez, and A. M. Widmer. 2007. Research Framework for the Upper Colorado River Basin: Phase I. Draft report submitted to the Recovery Implementation Program for Endangered Fishes in the Upper Colorado River Basin. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Denver, CO.
- Bestgen, K. R., K. A. Zelasko, R. I. Compton, and T. Chart. 2006. Response of the Green River fish community to changes in flow and temperature regimes from Flaming Gorge Dam since 1996 based on sampling conducted from 2002 to 2004. Final report submitted to the Biology Committee, Upper Colorado Endangered Fish Recovery Program.
- Carron, J.C. 2000. Simulation and optimization of unsteady flow and water temperature in reservoir regulated rivers. Ph.D. Dissertation. University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 147pp.
- Chart, T.E. and L.D. Lentsch. 1999. Flow effects on humpback chub (*Gila cypha*) in Westwater Canyon. Final Report of Utah Division of Wildlife Resources to Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program, Denver, Colorado.
- Chart, T.E. and L.D. Lentsch. 2000. Reproduction and recruitment of *Gila* spp. and Colorado pikeminnow (*Ptychocheilus lucius*) in the Middle Green River 1992–1996. Report C in Flaming Gorge Studies: reproduction and recruitment of *Gila* spp. and Colorado pikeminnow (*Ptychocheilus lucius*) in the Middle Green River. Final Report of Utah Division of Wildlife Resources to Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program, Denver, Colorado.
- Douglas, M.E., R.R. Miller, and W.L. Minckley. 1998. Multivariate discrimination of Colorado plateau *Gila* spp.: “the art of seeing well” revisited. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 127: 163–173.

- Douglas, M.E., W.L. Minckley, and H.M. Tyus. 1989. Qualitative characters, identification of Colorado River chubs (Cyprinidae: genus *Gila*) and the “art of seeing well.” *Copeia* 1989: 653–662.
- Holden, P.B. and L.W. Crist. 1981. Documentation of changes in the macroinvertebrate and fish populations in the Green River due to inlet modification of Flaming Gorge Dam. Final Report PR-16-5 of BIO/WEST, Inc., Logan, Utah.
- McAda, C.W. 2000. Interagency Standardized Monitoring Program - Population estimate of humpback chub in Black Rocks. FY-00 Annual Report to Colorado River Recovery Program, Project Number: 22-A-3.
- Muth, R.T., L.W. Crist, K.E. LaGory, J.W. Hayse, K.R. Bestgen, T.P. Ryan, J.K. Lyons, R.A. Valdez. 2000. Flow and Temperature Recommendations for Endangered Fishes in the Green River Downstream of Flaming Gorge Dam. Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program, Project FG-53. Final Report
- Tyus, H.M., and C.A. Karp. 1991. Habitat use and streamflow needs of rare and endangered fishes, Green River, Utah. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Vernal, Utah.
- Valdez, R.A. and R.J. Ryel. 1995. Life history and ecology of the humpback chub (*Gila cypha*) in the Colorado River, Grand Canyon, Arizona. Final Report to Bureau of Reclamation, Salt Lake City, Utah. Contract No. 0-CS-40-09110. BIO/WEST Report No TR-250-08.
- Vanicek, C.D., R.H. Kramer, and D.R. Franklin. 1970. Distribution of Green River fishes in Utah and Colorado following closure of Flaming Gorge Dam. *Southwestern Naturalist* 14:297–315.

**SUMMARY OF PROPOSED COSTS**

<b>Name of Servicing Agency:</b>	Colorado State University
<b>Project Name:</b>	Project FR115, Monitoring effects of Flaming Gorge Dam releases on the Lodore and Whirlpool Canyon fish communities

	YEAR 1		YEAR 2		YEAR 3		YEAR 4		YEAR 5		TOTAL
	10/1/2019		9/30/2020		10/1/2021		10/1/2022		10/1/2023		
	Through	9/29/2020	Through	9/30/2021	Through	9/30/2022	Through	9/30/2023	Through	9/29/2024	
Enter the BEGINNING dates for each year ----->											
Enter the ENDING dates for each year ----->											
<b>DIRECT LABOR AND FRINGE BENEFIT COSTS:</b>	YEAR 1		YEAR 2		YEAR 3		YEAR 4		YEAR 5		TOTAL
Direct Labor - Hourly	\$	66,876.74	\$	68,214.59	\$	69,578.88	\$	70,970.46	\$	72,389.87	\$ 348,030.54
Fringe Benefits - Hourly	\$	18,859.24	\$	19,236.51	\$	19,621.24	\$	20,013.67	\$	20,413.94	\$ 98,144.61
Subtotal of Direct Labor & Fringe Benefits:	\$	85,735.98	\$	87,451.11	\$	89,200.13	\$	90,984.13	\$	92,803.81	\$ 446,175.15
<b>OTHER DIRECT COSTS:</b>	YEAR 1		YEAR 2		YEAR 3		YEAR 4		YEAR 5		TOTAL
Materials and Supplies	\$	2,690.21	\$ -	\$ 2,744.01	\$	2,798.90	\$	2,854.88	\$	2,911.97	\$ 13,999.97
Travel Costs	\$	7,063.50	\$	7,063.50	\$	7,063.50	\$	7,063.50	\$	7,063.50	\$ 35,317.50
Equipment	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
Contractors	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
Subtotal of Other Direct Costs:	\$	9,753.71	\$	9,807.51	\$	9,862.40	\$	9,918.38	\$	9,975.47	\$ 49,317.47
<b>INDIRECT/OVERHEAD COSTS:</b>	YEAR 1		YEAR 2		YEAR 3		YEAR 4		YEAR 5		TOTAL
Subtotal of Labor and Other Direct Costs:	\$	76,630.45	\$	78,022.10	\$	79,441.28	\$	80,888.84	\$	82,365.34	
Total dollars exempt from indirect/overhead base:											
<Enter Description of Indirect/OH Cost #1>	17.50%	\$ 13,410.33	17.50%	\$ 13,653.87	17.50%	\$ 13,902.22	17.50%	\$ 14,155.55	17.50%	\$ 14,413.93	\$ 69,535.90
Total dollars exempt from indirect/overhead base:		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -	
<Enter Description of Indirect/OH Cost #2>	0.00%	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -
Subtotal of Indirect/Overhead Costs:	\$	13,410.33	\$	13,653.87	\$	13,902.22	\$	14,155.55	\$	14,413.93	\$ 69,535.90
<b>GRAND TOTAL:</b>		\$ 108,900.01		\$ 110,912.48		\$ 112,964.75		\$ 115,058.06		\$ 117,193.22	\$ 565,028.52

# SUMMARY OF DIRECT LABOR & FRINGE BENEFITS

Checked for accuracy DS

Enter Escalation Rates ----->	Yr 2 Escalation Rate	2.00%
-------------------------------	----------------------	-------

	Task # or Description	Position Title	Current Hourly Rate	YEAR 1					YEAR 2				
				10/1/2019		Through	9/29/2020		9/30/2020		Through	9/30/2021	
				# of Hours	Hourly Rate	Salary Cost	Fringe Rate	Fringe Cost	# of Hours	Hourly Rate	Salary Cost	Fringe Rate	Fringe Cost
1	1,2	Principal investigator	\$ 56.40	104.0	\$ 56.40	\$ 5,865.60	28.20%	\$ 1,654.10	80.0	\$ 57.53	\$ 4,602.24	28.20%	\$ 1,297.83
2	1,2	Senior technician (1)	\$ 22.76	400.0	\$ 22.76	\$ 9,104.00	28.20%	\$ 2,567.33	384.3	\$ 23.22	\$ 8,920.44	28.20%	\$ 2,515.56
3	1,2	Technician (1)	\$ 14.56	720.0	\$ 14.56	\$ 10,483.20	28.20%	\$ 2,956.26	720.0	\$ 14.85	\$ 10,692.86	28.20%	\$ 3,015.39
4	3	Principal investigator	\$ 56.40	56.0	\$ 56.40	\$ 3,158.40	28.20%	\$ 890.67	56.0	\$ 57.53	\$ 3,221.57	28.20%	\$ 908.48
5	3	Senior technician (1)	\$ 22.76	200.0	\$ 22.76	\$ 4,552.00	28.20%	\$ 1,283.66	200.0	\$ 23.22	\$ 4,643.04	28.20%	\$ 1,309.34
6	3	Technician (1)	\$ 14.56	400.0	\$ 14.56	\$ 5,824.00	28.20%	\$ 1,642.37	400.0	\$ 14.85	\$ 5,940.48	28.20%	\$ 1,675.22
10	4	Principal investigator	\$ 56.40	82.0	\$ 56.40	\$ 4,624.80	28.20%	\$ 1,304.19	112.0	\$ 57.53	\$ 6,443.14	28.20%	\$ 1,816.96
11	4	Senior technician (1)	\$ 22.76	440.0	\$ 22.76	\$ 10,014.40	28.20%	\$ 2,824.06	440.0	\$ 23.22	\$ 10,214.69	28.20%	\$ 2,880.54
12	4	Technician (1)	\$ 14.56	518.6	\$ 14.56	\$ 7,550.82	28.20%	\$ 2,129.33	520.0	\$ 14.85	\$ 7,722.62	28.20%	\$ 2,177.78
13	5	Principal investigator	\$ 56.40	64.0	\$ 56.40	\$ 3,609.60	28.20%	\$ 1,017.91	64.0	\$ 57.53	\$ 3,681.79	28.20%	\$ 1,038.27
14	5	Senior technician (1)	\$ 22.76	56.0	\$ 22.76	\$ 1,274.56	28.20%	\$ 359.43	56.0	\$ 23.22	\$ 1,300.05	28.20%	\$ 366.61
15	5	Technician (1)	\$ 14.56	56.0	\$ 14.56	\$ 815.36	28.20%	\$ 229.93	56.0	\$ 14.85	\$ 831.67	28.20%	\$ 234.53
51			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -
52			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -
53			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -
54			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -
55			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -
56			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -
57			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -
58			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -
				<b>3,096.60</b>		<b>\$ 66,876.74</b>		<b>\$ 18,859.24</b>	<b>3,088.25</b>		<b>\$ 68,214.59</b>		<b>\$ 19,236.51</b>

# SUMMARY OF DIRECT LAB

Red: had to turn off 2% inflation factor because bestgen doesn't do it, but Hawkins does

Checked for accuracy DS

Yr 3 Escalation Rate	2.00%
----------------------	-------

Yr 4 Escalation Rate	2.00%
----------------------	-------

	Task # or Description	Position Title	Current Hourly Rate	YEAR 3					YEAR 4				
				10/1/2021		Through	9/30/2022	10/1/2022		Through	9/30/2023		
				# of Hours	Hourly Rate	Salary Cost	Fringe Rate	Fringe Cost	# of Hours	Hourly Rate	Salary Cost	Fringe Rate	Fringe Cost
1	1,2	Principal investigator	\$ 56.40	80.0	\$ 58.68	\$ 4,694.28	28.20%	\$ 1,323.79	80.0	\$ 59.85	\$ 4,788.17	28.20%	\$ 1,350.26
2	1,2	Senior technician (1)	\$ 22.76	384.3	\$ 23.68	\$ 9,098.85	28.20%	\$ 2,565.88	384.3	\$ 24.15	\$ 9,280.83	28.20%	\$ 2,617.19
3	1,2	Technician (1)	\$ 14.56	720.0	\$ 15.15	\$ 10,906.72	28.20%	\$ 3,075.70	720.0	\$ 15.45	\$ 11,124.86	28.20%	\$ 3,137.21
4	3	Principal investigator	\$ 56.40	56.0	\$ 58.68	\$ 3,286.00	28.20%	\$ 926.65	56.0	\$ 59.85	\$ 3,351.72	28.20%	\$ 945.18
5	3	Senior technician (1)	\$ 22.76	200.0	\$ 23.68	\$ 4,735.90	28.20%	\$ 1,335.52	200.0	\$ 24.15	\$ 4,830.62	28.20%	\$ 1,362.23
6	3	Technician (1)	\$ 14.56	400.0	\$ 15.15	\$ 6,059.29	28.20%	\$ 1,708.72	400.0	\$ 15.45	\$ 6,180.48	28.20%	\$ 1,742.89
10	4	Principal investigator	\$ 56.40	112.0	\$ 58.68	\$ 6,572.00	28.20%	\$ 1,853.30	112.0	\$ 59.85	\$ 6,703.44	28.20%	\$ 1,890.37
11	4	Senior technician (1)	\$ 22.76	440.0	\$ 23.68	\$ 10,418.98	28.20%	\$ 2,938.15	440.0	\$ 24.15	\$ 10,627.36	28.20%	\$ 2,996.92
12	4	Technician (1)	\$ 14.56	520.0	\$ 15.15	\$ 7,877.08	28.20%	\$ 2,221.34	520.0	\$ 15.45	\$ 8,034.62	28.20%	\$ 2,265.76
13	5	Principal investigator	\$ 56.40	64.0	\$ 58.68	\$ 3,755.43	28.20%	\$ 1,059.03	64.0	\$ 59.85	\$ 3,830.54	28.20%	\$ 1,080.21
14	5	Senior technician (1)	\$ 22.76	56.0	\$ 23.68	\$ 1,326.05	28.20%	\$ 373.95	56.0	\$ 24.15	\$ 1,352.57	28.20%	\$ 381.43
15	5	Technician (1)	\$ 14.56	56.0	\$ 15.15	\$ 848.30	28.20%	\$ 239.22	56.0	\$ 15.45	\$ 865.27	28.20%	\$ 244.01
51			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -
52			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -
53			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -
54			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -
55			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -
56			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -
57			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -
58			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -
				<b>3,088.25</b>		<b>\$ 69,578.88</b>		<b>\$ 19,621.24</b>	<b>3,088.25</b>		<b>\$ 70,970.46</b>		<b>\$ 20,013.67</b>

# SUMMARY OF DIRECT LABOR

Checked for accuracy DS

Yr 5 Escalation Rate	2.00%
----------------------	-------

		YEAR 5									
		10/1/2023		Through	9/29/2024						
	Task # or Description	Position Title	Current Hourly Rate	# of Hours	Hourly Rate	Salary Cost	Fringe Rate	Fringe Cost	Total Salary Cost	Total Fringe Cost	Total Labor Cost
1	1,2	Principal investigator	\$ 56.40	80.0	\$ 61.05	\$ 4,883.93	28.20%	\$ 1,377.27	\$ 24,834.23	\$ 7,003.25	\$ 31,837.48
2	1,2	Senior technician (1)	\$ 22.76	384.3	\$ 24.64	\$ 9,466.44	28.20%	\$ 2,669.54	\$ 45,870.56	\$ 12,935.50	\$ 58,806.06
3	1,2	Technician (1)	\$ 14.56	720	\$ 15.76	\$ 11,347.35	28.20%	\$ 3,199.95	\$ 54,554.99	\$ 15,384.51	\$ 69,939.50
4	3	Principal investigator	\$ 56.40	56.0	\$ 61.05	\$ 3,418.75	28.20%	\$ 964.09	\$ 16,436.44	\$ 4,635.08	\$ 21,071.52
5	3	Senior technician (1)	\$ 22.76	200.0	\$ 24.64	\$ 4,927.23	28.20%	\$ 1,389.48	\$ 23,688.79	\$ 6,680.24	\$ 30,369.03
6	3	Technician (1)	\$ 14.56	400.0	\$ 15.76	\$ 6,304.08	28.20%	\$ 1,777.75	\$ 30,308.33	\$ 8,546.95	\$ 38,855.28
10	4	Principal investigator	\$ 56.40	112.0	\$ 61.05	\$ 6,837.51	28.20%	\$ 1,928.18	\$ 31,180.88	\$ 8,793.01	\$ 39,973.89
11	4	Senior technician (1)	\$ 22.76	440.0	\$ 24.64	\$ 10,839.91	28.20%	\$ 3,056.85	\$ 52,115.34	\$ 14,696.53	\$ 66,811.87
12	4	Technician (1)	\$ 14.56	520.0	\$ 15.76	\$ 8,195.31	28.20%	\$ 2,311.08	\$ 39,380.44	\$ 11,105.29	\$ 50,485.73
13	5	Principal investigator	\$ 56.40	64.0	\$ 61.05	\$ 3,907.15	28.20%	\$ 1,101.82	\$ 18,784.50	\$ 5,297.23	\$ 24,081.73
14	5	Senior technician (1)	\$ 22.76	56.0	\$ 24.64	\$ 1,379.62	28.20%	\$ 389.05	\$ 6,632.86	\$ 1,870.47	\$ 8,503.33
15	5	Technician (1)	\$ 14.56	56.0	\$ 15.76	\$ 882.57	28.20%	\$ 248.89	\$ 4,243.17	\$ 1,196.57	\$ 5,439.74
51			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
52			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
53			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
54			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
55			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
56			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
57			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
58			\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	28.20%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
				<b>3,088.25</b>		<b>\$ 72,389.87</b>		<b>\$ 20,413.94</b>	<b>\$ 348,030.54</b>	<b>\$ 98,144.61</b>	<b>\$ 446,175.15</b>

# SUMMARY OF MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES

## SUMMARY OF MATERIALS, SUPPLIES, AND SERVICES

Yr 2 Escalation Rate	2.00%
----------------------	-------

Checked for accuracy DWS

Task # or Des	Item Description	Rationale for Proposed Cost	Year 1			Year 2		
			Unit Price	Unit Quantity	Subtotal	Unit Price	Unit Quantity	Subtotal
2	1,2 gas	Based on previous experience & SOWs fu	\$ 2.55	5	\$ 12.77	\$ 2.60	5	\$ 13.02
3	1,2 sampling containers, preservative, dip nets	Based on previous experience & SOWs fu	\$ 21.28	18	\$ 382.98	\$ 21.70	18	\$ 390.64
4	1,2 tents, field kitchen gear	Based on previous experience & SOWs fu	\$ 170.21	3	\$ 510.64	\$ 173.62	3	\$ 520.85
6	1,2 seines, trammel nets	Based on previous experience & SOWs fu	\$ 110.64	3	\$ 331.91	\$ 112.85	3	\$ 338.55
22	1,2 fyke nets	Based on previous experience & SOWs fu	\$ 643.40	1	\$ 643.40	\$ 656.27	1	\$ 656.27
23	1,2 misc tools for repairs	Based on previous experience & SOWs fu	\$ 18.72	5	\$ 93.62	\$ 19.10	5	\$ 95.49
24	1,2 raft gear (oars, flotation, straps, cooler)	Based on previous experience & SOWs fu	\$ 119.15	6	\$ 714.89	\$ 121.53	6	\$ 729.19
25			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
26			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
27			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
28			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
29			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
30			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
<b>TOTAL:</b>					<b>\$ 2,690.21</b>	<b>\$ 2,744.01</b>		



# SUMMARY OF MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES

<b>SUMMARY OF MATERIALS, SU</b>	Yr 3 Escalation Rate	2.00%	Yr 4 Escalation Rate	2.00%
---------------------------------	----------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

Checked for accuracy DWS

isk # or Des	1,2	Item Description	Year 3			Year 4			
			Unit Price	Unit Quantity	Subtotal	Unit Price	Unit Quantity	Subtotal	
<b>2</b>	1,2	gas	\$ 2.66	5	\$ 13.28	\$ 2.71	5	\$ 13.55	
<b>3</b>	1,2	sampling containers, preservative, dip nets	\$ 22.14	18	\$ 398.45	\$ 22.58	18	\$ 406.42	
<b>4</b>	1,2	tents, field kitchen gear	\$ 177.09	3	\$ 531.27	\$ 180.63	3	\$ 541.89	
<b>6</b>	1,2	seines, trammel nets	\$ 115.11	3	\$ 345.32	\$ 117.41	3	\$ 352.23	
<b>22</b>	1,2	fyke nets	\$ 669.40	1	\$ 669.40	\$ 682.79	1	\$ 682.79	
<b>23</b>	1,2	misc tools for repairs	\$ 19.48	5	\$ 97.40	\$ 19.87	5	\$ 99.35	
<b>24</b>	1,2	raft gear (oars, flotation, straps, cooler)	\$ 123.96	6	\$ 743.78	\$ 126.44	6	\$ 758.65	
<b>25</b>			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -	
<b>26</b>			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -	
<b>27</b>			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -	
<b>28</b>			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -	
<b>29</b>			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -	
<b>30</b>			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -	
					<b>\$ 2,798.90</b>				<b>\$ 2,854.88</b>

# SUMMARY OF MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES

<b>SUMMARY OF MATERIALS, SU</b>	Yr 5 Escalation Rate	2.00%
---------------------------------	----------------------	-------

Checked for accuracy DWS

isk # or Des		Item Description	Year 5			TOTAL
			Unit Price	Unit Quantity	Subtotal	
<b>2</b>	1,2	gas	\$ 2.76	5	\$ 13.82	\$ 66.44
<b>3</b>	1,2	sampling containers, preservative, dip nets	\$ 23.03	18	\$ 414.55	\$ 1,993.04
<b>4</b>	1,2	tents, field kitchen gear	\$ 184.24	3	\$ 552.73	\$ 2,657.38
<b>6</b>	1,2	seines, trammel nets	\$ 119.76	3	\$ 359.28	\$ 1,727.29
<b>22</b>	1,2	fyke nets	\$ 696.44	1	\$ 696.44	\$ 3,348.30
<b>23</b>	1,2	misc tools for repairs	\$ 20.27	5	\$ 101.33	\$ 487.19
<b>24</b>	1,2	raft gear (oars, flotation, straps, cooler)	\$ 128.97	6	\$ 773.82	\$ 3,720.33
<b>25</b>			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
<b>26</b>			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
<b>27</b>			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
<b>28</b>			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
<b>29</b>			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
<b>30</b>			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
					<b>\$ 2,911.97</b>	<b>\$ 13,999.97</b>

# SUMMARY OF TRAVEL COSTS

Checked for  
accuracy DS

Task 5	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	TOTAL
<b>Trip #</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	
<b>From-To</b>	Fort Collins to Grand Junction	Fort Collins to Grand Junction	Fort Collins to Grand Junction	Fort Collins to Grand Junction	Fort Collins to Grand Junction	
<b>Reason</b>	BC meeting	BC meeting	BC meeting	BC meeting	BC meeting	
<b># of Days (include travel days)</b>	4	4	4	4	4	
<b>Airfare</b>						
<b>Lodging (Per Night)</b>	\$ 88.00	\$ 88.00	\$ 88.00	\$ 88.00	\$ 88.00	
<b>MI&amp;E Per Day</b>	\$ 55.00	\$ 55.00	\$ 55.00	\$ 55.00	\$ 55.00	
<b>Auto Rental Per Day</b>						
<b>Misc Costs/Adjustments/Trip</b>						
<b>Total Per Trip</b>						
<b>No. of persons</b>	2	2	2	2	2	
<b>Mileage rate</b>	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50	
<b>Total miles</b>	681	681	681	681	681	
<b>SUBTOTAL =</b>	<b>\$1,363.50</b>	<b>\$1,363.50</b>	<b>\$1,363.50</b>	<b>\$1,363.50</b>	<b>\$1,363.50</b>	<b>\$ 6,817.50</b>
	1363.5					

Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	TOTAL	
<b>Trip #</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	
<b>From-To</b>	Fort Collins-Browns Park, CO	Fort Collins-Browns Park, CO	Fort Collins-Browns Park, CO	Fort Collins-Browns Park, CO	Fort Collins-Browns Park, CO	
<b>Reason</b>	Green River sampling	Green River sampling	Green River sampling	Green River sampling	Green River sampling	
<b># of Days (include travel days)</b>	7	7	7	7	7	
<b>Airfare</b>						
<b>Lodging (Per Night)</b>						
<b>MI&amp;E Per Day</b>	\$ 55.00	\$ 55.00	\$ 55.00	\$ 55.00	\$ 55.00	
<b>Auto Rental Per Day</b>						
<b>Misc Costs/Adjustments/Trip</b>						
<b>Total Per Trip</b>	<b>\$ 357.50</b>	<b>\$ 357.50</b>	<b>\$ 357.50</b>	<b>\$ 357.50</b>	<b>\$ 357.50</b>	
<b>No. of persons</b>	4	4	4	4	4	
<b>Mileage rate</b>	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50	
<b>Total miles</b>	1,410	1,410	1,410	1,410	1,410	
<b>SUBTOTAL =</b>	<b>\$2,135.00</b>	<b>\$2,135.00</b>	<b>\$2,135.00</b>	<b>\$2,135.00</b>	<b>\$2,135.00</b>	<b>\$ 10,675.00</b>
	2135					

Year 1	Year 1	Year 1	Year 1	Year 1	TOTAL	
<b>Trip #</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	
<b>From-To</b>	Fort Collins-Browns Park, CO	Fort Collins-Browns Park, CO	Fort Collins-Browns Park, CO	Fort Collins-Browns Park, CO	Fort Collins-Browns Park, CO	
<b>Reason</b>	Green River sampling	Green River sampling	Green River sampling	Green River sampling	Green River sampling	
<b># of Days (include travel days)</b>	7	7	7	7	7	
<b>Airfare</b>						

<b>Lodging (Per Night)</b>						
<b>MI&amp;E Per Day</b>	\$ 54.00	\$ 54.00	\$ 54.00	\$ 54.00	\$ 54.00	
<b>Auto Rental Per Day</b>						
<b>Misc Costs/Adjustments/Trip</b>						
<b>Total Per Trip</b>	\$ 351.00	\$ 351.00	\$ 351.00	\$ 351.00	\$ 351.00	
<b>No. of persons</b>	4	4	4	4	4	
<b>Mileage rate</b>	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50	
<b>Total miles</b>	1,462	1,462	1,462	1,462	1,462	
<b>SUBTOTAL =</b>	\$2,135.00	\$2,135.00	\$2,135.00	\$2,135.00	\$2,135.00	\$ 10,675.00

	<b>Year 1</b>	<b>Year 1</b>	<b>Year 1</b>	<b>Year 1</b>	<b>Year 1</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
<b>Trip #</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	
<b>From-To</b>	Fort Collins-Echo Park, CO	Fort Collins-Echo Park, CO	Fort Collins-Echo Park, CO	Fort Collins-Echo Park, CO	Fort Collins-Echo Park, CO	
<b>Reason</b>	Green River sampling	Green River sampling	Green River sampling	Green River sampling	Green River sampling	
<b># of Days (include travel days)</b>	5	5	5	5	5	
<b>Airfare</b>						
<b>Lodging (Per Night)</b>						
<b>MI&amp;E Per Day</b>	\$ 54.00	\$ 54.00	\$ 54.00	\$ 54.00	\$ 54.00	
<b>Auto Rental Per Day</b>						
<b>Misc Costs/Adjustments/Trip</b>						
<b>Total Per Trip</b>	\$ 243.00	\$ 243.00	\$ 243.00	\$ 243.00	\$ 243.00	
<b>No. of persons</b>	3	3	3	3	3	
<b>Mileage rate</b>	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50	
<b>Total miles</b>	1,402	1,402	1,402	1,402	1,402	
<b>SUBTOTAL =</b>	\$1,430.00	\$1,430.00	\$1,430.00	\$1,430.00	\$1,430.00	\$ 7,150.00

\$1,430

	<b>Year 1</b>	<b>Year 2</b>	<b>Year 3</b>	<b>Year 4</b>	<b>Year 5</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
<b>TOTAL COST BY PERIOD =</b>	\$ 7,063.50	\$ 7,063.50	\$ 7,063.50	\$ 7,063.50	\$ 7,063.50	\$ 35,317.50

**SUMMARY OF PROPOSED COSTS**

<b>Name of Servicing Agency:</b>	U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Green River Basin FWCO
<b>Project Name:</b>	Recovery Program Project 115: Monitoring effects of Flaming Gorge Dam releases on the Lodore and Whirlpool Canyon fish communities

	YEAR 1		YEAR 2		YEAR 3		YEAR 4		YEAR 5		TOTAL
	10/1/2019		9/30/2020		10/1/2021		10/1/2022		10/1/2023		
	Through	9/29/2020	Through	9/30/2021	Through	9/30/2022	Through	9/30/2023	Through	9/29/2024	
Enter the BEGINNING dates for each year ----->											
Enter the ENDING dates for each year ----->											
<b>DIRECT LABOR AND FRINGE BENEFIT COSTS:</b>	<b>YEAR 1</b>		<b>YEAR 2</b>		<b>YEAR 3</b>		<b>YEAR 4</b>		<b>YEAR 5</b>		<b>TOTAL</b>
Direct Labor - Hourly	\$	23,304.60	\$	22,157.38	\$	22,600.53	\$	23,052.54	\$	25,225.65	\$ 116,340.69
Fringe Benefits - Hourly	\$	8,463.11	\$	7,987.04	\$	8,146.79	\$	8,309.72	\$	9,160.74	\$ 42,067.40
Subtotal of Direct Labor & Fringe Benefits:	\$	31,767.71	\$	30,144.42	\$	30,747.31	\$	31,362.26	\$	34,386.39	\$ 158,408.09
<b>OTHER DIRECT COSTS:</b>	<b>YEAR 1</b>		<b>YEAR 2</b>		<b>YEAR 3</b>		<b>YEAR 4</b>		<b>YEAR 5</b>		<b>TOTAL</b>
Materials and Supplies	\$	2,313.99	\$	2,360.26	\$	2,407.47	\$	2,455.62	\$	2,504.73	\$ 12,042.07
Travel Costs	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
Equipment	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
Contractors	\$	1,422.00	\$	1,450.44	\$	1,479.45	\$	1,509.04	\$	1,539.22	\$ 7,400.15
Subtotal of Other Direct Costs:	\$	3,735.99	\$	3,810.70	\$	3,886.92	\$	3,964.66	\$	4,043.95	\$ 19,442.22
<b>INDIRECT/OVERHEAD COSTS:</b>	<b>YEAR 1</b>		<b>YEAR 2</b>		<b>YEAR 3</b>		<b>YEAR 4</b>		<b>YEAR 5</b>		<b>TOTAL</b>
Subtotal of Labor and Other Direct Costs:	\$	35,503.70	\$	33,955.12	\$	34,634.23	\$	35,326.92	\$	38,430.34	\$ 177,850.30
Total dollars exempt from indirect/overhead base:	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
<Enter Description of Indirect/OH Cost #1>	3.00%	\$ 1,065.11	3.00%	\$ 1,018.65	3.00%	\$ 1,039.03	3.00%	\$ 1,059.81	3.00%	\$ 1,152.91	\$ 5,335.51
Total dollars exempt from indirect/overhead base:	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
<Enter Description of Indirect/OH Cost #2>	11.00%	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -
Subtotal of Indirect/Overhead Costs:	\$	1,065.11	\$	1,018.65	\$	1,039.03	\$	1,059.81	\$	1,152.91	\$ 5,335.51
<b>GRAND TOTAL:</b>	\$	36,568.81	\$	34,973.78	\$	35,673.26	\$	36,386.72	\$	39,583.25	\$ 183,185.81

# SUMMARY OF DIRECT LABOR & FRINGE BENEFITS

Enter Escalation Rates ----->	Yr 2 Escalation Rate	2.00%
-------------------------------	----------------------	-------

TASK # or Descr	Position Title	GS/WG Grade	GS/W G Step	OPM Pay Location	Current Hourly Rate	YEAR 1					YEAR 2					
						10/1/2019		Through	9/29/2020		9/30/2020		Through	9/30/2021		
						# of Hours	Hourly Rate	Salary Cost	Fringe Rate	Fringe Cost	# of Hours	Hourly Rate	Salary Cost	Fringe Rate	Fringe Cost	
1	1	Fish Biologist	GS 11	5	Rest of United	\$ 33.80	80.0	\$ 33.80	\$ 2,704.00	40.00%	\$ 1,081.60	80.0	\$ 34.48	\$ 2,758.08	40.00%	\$ 1,103.23
2	1	Fish Biologist	GS 11	3	Rest of United	\$ 31.81	120.0	\$ 31.81	\$ 3,817.20	35.00%	\$ 1,336.02	120.0	\$ 32.45	\$ 3,893.54	35.00%	\$ 1,362.74
3	1	Fisheries Technician	GS 8	9	Rest of United	\$ 28.27	196.0	\$ 28.27	\$ 5,540.92	40.00%	\$ 2,216.37	196.0	\$ 28.84	\$ 5,651.74	40.00%	\$ 2,260.70
4	1	Biological Science Technician Crew L	GS 6	1	Rest of United	\$ 18.50	120.0	\$ 18.50	\$ 2,220.00	23.78%	\$ 527.92	120.0	\$ 18.87	\$ 2,264.40	23.78%	\$ 538.47
5	1	Biological Science Technician Crew L	GS 6	1	Rest of United	\$ 27.75	8.0	\$ 27.75	\$ 222.00	0.00%	\$ -	8.0	\$ 28.31	\$ 226.44	0.00%	\$ -
6	1	Biological Science Technician	GS 5	1	Rest of United	\$ 16.59	120.0	\$ 16.59	\$ 1,990.80	33.00%	\$ 656.96	120.0	\$ 16.92	\$ 2,030.62	33.00%	\$ 670.10
7	1	Biological Science Technician OT	GS 5	1	Rest of United	\$ 24.89	8.0	\$ 24.89	\$ 199.08	0.00%	\$ -	8.0	\$ 25.38	\$ 203.06	0.00%	\$ -
8	1	Administrative Officer	GS 9	8	Rest of United	\$ 30.40	59.0	\$ 30.40	\$ 1,793.60	40.00%	\$ 717.44	45.0	\$ 31.01	\$ 1,395.36	40.00%	\$ 558.14
9	1	Project Leader	GS 13	5	Rest of United	\$ 48.17	100.0	\$ 48.17	\$ 4,817.00	40.00%	\$ 1,926.80	76.0	\$ 49.13	\$ 3,734.14	40.00%	\$ 1,493.66
10					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	
11					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	
12					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	
13					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	
14					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	
15					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	
16					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	
17					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	
18					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	
19					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	
20					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	
21					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	
22					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	
23					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	
24					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	
25					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	
26					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	
27					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	
28					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	
29					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	
30					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	
31																
						<b>811.00</b>		<b>\$ 23,304.60</b>		<b>\$ 8,463.11</b>	<b>773.00</b>		<b>\$ 22,157.38</b>		<b>\$ 7,987.04</b>	

# SUMMARY OF DIRECT LABOR & FRINGE BENEFITS

Yr 3 Escalation Rate 2.00%

Yr 4 Escalation Rate 2.00%

Task # or Descr	Position Title	GS/WG Grade	GS/W G Step	OPM Pay Location	Current Hourly Rate	YEAR 3					YEAR 4				
						10/1/2021		Through	9/30/2022		10/1/2022		Through	9/30/2023	
						# of Hours	Hourly Rate	Salary Cost	Fringe Rate	Fringe Cost	# of Hours	Hourly Rate	Salary Cost	Fringe Rate	Fringe Cost
1	Fish Biologist	GS 11	5	Rest of United	\$ 33.80	80.0	\$ 35.17	\$ 2,813.24	40.00%	\$ 1,125.30	80.0	\$ 35.87	\$ 2,869.51	40.00%	\$ 1,147.80
2	Fish Biologist	GS 11	3	Rest of United	\$ 31.81	120.0	\$ 33.10	\$ 3,971.41	35.00%	\$ 1,390.00	120.0	\$ 33.76	\$ 4,050.84	35.00%	\$ 1,417.80
3	Fisheries Technician	GS 8	9	Rest of United	\$ 28.27	196.0	\$ 29.41	\$ 5,764.77	40.00%	\$ 2,305.91	196.0	\$ 30.00	\$ 5,880.07	40.00%	\$ 2,352.03
4	Biological Science Technician Crew L	GS 6	1	Rest of United	\$ 18.50	120.0	\$ 19.25	\$ 2,309.69	23.78%	\$ 549.24	120.0	\$ 19.63	\$ 2,355.88	23.78%	\$ 560.23
5	Biological Science Technician Crew L	GS 6	1	Rest of United	\$ 27.75	8.0	\$ 28.87	\$ 230.97	0.00%	\$ -	8.0	\$ 29.45	\$ 235.59	0.00%	\$ -
6	Biological Science Technician	GS 5	1	Rest of United	\$ 16.59	120.0	\$ 17.26	\$ 2,071.23	33.00%	\$ 683.51	120.0	\$ 17.61	\$ 2,112.65	33.00%	\$ 697.18
7	Biological Science Technician OT	GS 5	1	Rest of United	\$ 24.89	8.0	\$ 25.89	\$ 207.12	0.00%	\$ -	8.0	\$ 26.41	\$ 211.27	0.00%	\$ -
8	Administrative Officer	GS 9	8	Rest of United	\$ 30.40	45.0	\$ 31.63	\$ 1,423.27	40.00%	\$ 569.31	45.0	\$ 32.26	\$ 1,451.73	40.00%	\$ 580.69
9	Project Leader	GS 13	5	Rest of United	\$ 48.17	76.0	\$ 50.12	\$ 3,808.82	40.00%	\$ 1,523.53	76.0	\$ 51.12	\$ 3,885.00	40.00%	\$ 1,554.00
10					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
11					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
12					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
13					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
14					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
15					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
16					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
17					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
18					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
19					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
20					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
21					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
22					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
23					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
24					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
25					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
26					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
27					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
28					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
29					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
30					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
31						773.00		\$ 22,600.53		\$ 8,146.79	773.00		\$ 23,052.54		\$ 8,309.72

# SUMMARY OF DIRECT LABOR & FRINGE BENEFITS

Yr 5 Escalation Rate	2.00%
----------------------	-------

						YEAR 5					Total Salary Cost	Total Fringe Cost	Total Labor Cost	
						10/1/2023	Through	9/29/2024						
Task # or Descr	Position Title	GS/WG Grade	GS/W G Step	OPM Pay Location	Current Hourly Rate	# of Hours	Hourly Rate	Salary Cost	Fringe Rate	Fringe Cost				
1	1	Fish Biologist	GS 11	5	Rest of United	\$ 33.80	80.0	\$ 36.59	\$ 2,926.90	40.00%	\$ 1,170.76	\$ 14,071.72	\$ 5,628.69	\$ 19,700.41
2	1	Fish Biologist	GS 11	3	Rest of United	\$ 31.81	120.0	\$ 34.43	\$ 4,131.86	35.00%	\$ 1,446.15	\$ 19,864.86	\$ 6,952.70	\$ 26,817.56
3	1	Fisheries Technician	GS 8	9	Rest of United	\$ 28.27	196.0	\$ 30.60	\$ 5,997.67	40.00%	\$ 2,399.07	\$ 28,835.17	\$ 11,534.07	\$ 40,369.24
4	1	Biological Science Technician Crew L	GS 6	1	Rest of United	\$ 18.50	120.0	\$ 20.02	\$ 2,403.00	23.78%	\$ 571.43	\$ 11,552.97	\$ 2,747.30	\$ 14,300.27
5	1	Biological Science Technician Crew L	GS 6	1	Rest of United	\$ 27.75	8.0	\$ 30.04	\$ 240.30	0.00%	\$ -	\$ 1,155.30	\$ -	\$ 1,155.30
6	1	Biological Science Technician	GS 5	1	Rest of United	\$ 16.59	120.0	\$ 17.96	\$ 2,154.91	33.00%	\$ 711.12	\$ 10,360.20	\$ 3,418.87	\$ 13,779.07
7	1	Biological Science Technician OT	GS 5	1	Rest of United	\$ 24.89	8.0	\$ 26.94	\$ 215.49	0.00%	\$ -	\$ 1,036.02	\$ -	\$ 1,036.02
8	1	Administrative Officer	GS 9	8	Rest of United	\$ 30.40	59.0	\$ 32.91	\$ 1,941.45	40.00%	\$ 776.58	\$ 8,005.41	\$ 3,202.16	\$ 11,207.57
9	1	Project Leader	GS 13	5	Rest of United	\$ 48.17	100.0	\$ 52.14	\$ 5,214.08	40.00%	\$ 2,085.63	\$ 21,459.03	\$ 8,583.61	\$ 30,042.65
10					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
11					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
13					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
14					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
15					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
16					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
17					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
18					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
19					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
20					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
21					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
22					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
23					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
24					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
25					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
26					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
27					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
28					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
29					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
30					\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
31						<b>811.00</b>		<b>\$ 25,225.65</b>		<b>\$ 9,160.74</b>	<b>\$ 116,340.69</b>	<b>\$ 42,067.40</b>	<b>\$ 158,408.09</b>	



# SUMMARY OF MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES

## SUMMARY OF MATERIALS, SUPPLIES, AND SERVICES

Yr 2 Escalation Rate	2.00%
----------------------	-------

	Task #	Item Description	Rationale for Proposed Cost	Year 1			Year 2		
				Unit Price	Unit Quantity	Subtotal	Unit Price	Unit Quantity	Subtotal
1	1	GSA Lease of Equip Code 6352 (monthl	<a href="http://www.gsa.gov/portal/category/21852">http://www.gsa.gov/portal/category/21852</a>	\$ 248.88	2	\$ 497.76	\$ 253.86	2	\$ 507.72
2	1	GSA Lease of Equip Code 6352 (milea	<a href="http://www.gsa.gov/portal/category/21852">http://www.gsa.gov/portal/category/21852</a>	\$ 0.33	1534	\$ 506.22	\$ 0.34	1534	\$ 516.34
3	1	Boat fuel (gal)	Please refer to Reclamation Agreement num	\$ 4.00	72	\$ 288.00	\$ 4.08	72	\$ 293.76
4	1	Sampling gear repair/replacement	Please refer to Reclamation Agreement num	\$ 340.67	1	\$ 340.67	\$ 347.48	1	\$ 347.48
5	1	Boating gear repair/replacement	Please refer to Reclamation Agreement num	\$ 340.67	1	\$ 340.67	\$ 347.48	1	\$ 347.48
6	1	Camping gear repair/replacement	Please refer to Reclamation Agreement num	\$ 340.67	1	\$ 340.67	\$ 347.48	1	\$ 347.48
7				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
8				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
9				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
10				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
11				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
12				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
13				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
14				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
15				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
16				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
17				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
18				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
19				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
20				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
21				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
22				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
23				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
24				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
25				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
26				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
27				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
28				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
29				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
30				\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
<b>TOTAL:</b>						<b>\$ 2,313.99</b>			<b>\$ 2,360.26</b>

# SUMMARY OF MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES

<b>SUMMARY OF MATERIALS, \$</b>	Yr 3 Escalation Rate	2.00%	Yr 4 Escalation Rate	2.00%
---------------------------------	----------------------	-------	----------------------	-------

	Task #	Item Description	Year 3			Year 4		
			Unit Price	Unit Quantity	Subtotal	Unit Price	Unit Quantity	Subtotal
1	1	GSA Lease of Equip Code 6352 (month	\$ 258.93	2	\$ 517.87	\$ 264.11	2	\$ 528.23
2	1	GSA Lease of Equip Code 6352 (milea	\$ 0.34	1534	\$ 526.67	\$ 0.35	1534	\$ 537.20
3	1	Boat fuel (gal)	\$ 4.16	72	\$ 299.64	\$ 4.24	72	\$ 305.63
4	1	Sampling gear repair/replacement	\$ 354.43	1	\$ 354.43	\$ 361.52	1	\$ 361.52
5	1	Boating gear repair/replacement	\$ 354.43	1	\$ 354.43	\$ 361.52	1	\$ 361.52
6	1	Camping gear repair/replacement	\$ 354.43	1	\$ 354.43	\$ 361.52	1	\$ 361.52
7			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
8			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
9			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
10			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
11			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
12			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
13			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
14			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
15			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
16			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
17			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
18			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
19			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
20			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
21			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
22			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
23			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
24			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
25			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
26			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
27			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
28			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
29			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
30			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	0	\$ -
					<b>\$ 2,407.47</b>			<b>\$ 2,455.62</b>

# SUMMARY OF MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES

<b>SUMMARY OF MATERIALS, \$</b>	Yr 5 Escalation Rate	2.00%
---------------------------------	----------------------	-------

		Year 5				
Task #	Item Description	Unit Price	Unit Quantity	Subtotal	TOTAL	
1	1	GSA Lease of Equip Code 6352 (month	\$ 269.40	2	\$ 538.79	\$ 2,590.37
2	1	GSA Lease of Equip Code 6352 (milea	\$ 0.36	1534	\$ 547.95	\$ 2,634.38
3	1	Boat fuel (gal)	\$ 4.33	72	\$ 311.74	\$ 1,498.77
4	1	Sampling gear repair/replacement	\$ 368.75	1	\$ 368.75	\$ 1,772.85
5	1	Boating gear repair/replacement	\$ 368.75	1	\$ 368.75	\$ 1,772.85
6	1	Camping gear repair/replacement	\$ 368.75	1	\$ 368.75	\$ 1,772.85
7			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
8			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
9			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
10			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
11			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
12			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
13			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
14			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
15			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
16			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
17			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
18			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
19			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
20			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
21			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
22			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
23			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
24			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
25			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
26			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
27			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
28			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
29			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
30			\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -
				<b>\$ 2,504.73</b>	<b>\$ 12,042.07</b>	

# SUMMARY OF CONTRACTOR COSTS

	<b>Contractor:</b>	<b>Contractor Website:</b>	<b>Purpose:</b>	<b>Competitive Award?</b>	<b>Year 1</b>
<b>1</b>	River Runners Transport	<a href="http://www.riverrunnerstransport.com/">http://www.riverrunnerstransport.com/</a>	Vehicle Shuttles: Gates of Lodore to Split Moun	No	\$ 876.00
<b>2</b>	River Runners Transport	<a href="http://www.riverrunnerstransport.com/">http://www.riverrunnerstransport.com/</a>	Vehicle Shuttles: Echo Park to Split Mountain	No	\$ 546.00
<b>3</b>					\$ -
<b>4</b>					\$ -
<b>5</b>					\$ -
	<b>TOTAL =</b>				<b>\$ 1,422.00</b>

<b>Year 2</b>	<b>Year 3</b>	<b>Year 4</b>	<b>Year 5</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>If not competitively awarded, how were prices deemed fair and reasonable?</b>
\$ 893.52	\$ 911.39	\$ 929.62	\$ 948.21	<b>\$ 4,558.74</b>	RRT is the only shuttle company located in Vernal, Utah.
\$ 556.92	\$ 568.06	\$ 579.42	\$ 591.01	<b>\$ 2,841.41</b>	RRT is the only shuttle company located in Vernal, Utah.
\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
<b>\$ 1,450.44</b>	<b>\$ 1,479.45</b>	<b>\$ 1,509.04</b>	<b>\$ 1,539.22</b>	<b>\$ 7,400.15</b>	